"SHABBOS GOYIM" WHO FRONT FOR ZIONISTS

Visible President
L. B. JOHNSON

Visible Sec. of State
DEAN RUSK

Visible Sec. of Defense
ROBERT McNAMARA

THE DEATH OF LIBERALISM

(Continued from Page 1)

sion and social unrest. It is leading us rapidly along the road to final ruin and oblivion.

We have, for example, become a nation so incompetent at the business of bringing up children that we really ought not to be allowed to have custody of them. The Spock generation is an unprecedented spectacle in the world. Doctor Spock's books on child-rearing have sold over 20,000,000 copies and have given us a generation of unwhipped brats who are a pox and a pestilence on every hand. Last Spring, I was in a hotel in New York. A basketball tournament came to town. The teen-age basketballers and their chicks filled the hotel. They rioted in the lobby, broke light fixtures, ripped wallpaper from the walls. They did $30,000 worth of damage to the hotel. The Manager swears he will never let them in again. Doctor Spock now has gone into the business of being a "Protester" against Vietnam, and has been questioned by the FBI for sabotage of the War Effort. He says that his "Rights" are violated by such a procedure. He has persuaded us to bring up our young to be barbarians, and now tells them that Patriotism is wicked and a thing to be avoided by every right-thinking youngster. It is impossible for me to imagine that a boy who has had the full Spock treatment could ever possibly grow up to be sexually normal. Many of them show up at induction centers wearing lingerie.

Many oldsters think that today's Youth is engaged in an unbridled orgy of sexual promiscuity. Pornographic publications add to this impression. It is mostly phoney. The REAL FACT is that sexual impotency is increasing by leaps and bounds among young American males. Far from being happy rakes enjoying a new sex liberation, many of them have been emasculated by the mechanized, bloodless, lifeless thinking of our time. There is a great deal of pornography for sale, to be sure, but it is not like the pornography of Rabelais. Rabelais portrayed lusty, life-driven sinners going to hell in a cart. Modern pornography is so sick and lifeless that it is really a philosophy of mechanized nihilism and death. It is all sexless sex. Shades of Scheherazade!

Since Jewish writers took over the business of writing pornography, it is as dead and lifeless as the rest of the garbage they dump constantly upon the literary markets. I cannot imagine anyone getting any erotic stimulation from Jewish pornography. Scheherazade, yes. A strumpet like Scheherazade might well tootle us madly and merrily along the paths of mortal sin to our ultimate damnation. Jewish pornography is about as sexy as the rattling of empty tin cans. Impotence, not promiscuity, is the really fast-growing problem among young American Gentile males.

The Leftist Underground which dominates the pornography racket strives with might and main after one main thing: to achieve a reversed polarity of the sexes, to create the feminized man and the masculinized woman. Hundreds of thousands of dollars are spent, and made, in this racket. Our society might survive a few adulteries, we have always had adultery. (Jesus said, "Let him who is without sin among you cast the first stone . . ." Jesus knew what sort of sham human morality is often made of). We have always had sexual delinquencies, and Society might survive them. BUT THIS IS ONE THING SOCIETY WILL NOT SURVIVE, this business of transposition of sexes, of homosexuality, of the feminized man and the masculinized woman. Perhaps the young man shows up at his induction center wearing lingerie. Would YOU like to be the officer who has to lead him into battle against foreign enemies?

Man has never been quite as good morally as he likes to pretend to be, and in the Nineteenth Century human pretensions of morality soared to ridiculous levels. The Victorian Age was an age of sham, hypocrisy, and pseudo-moral humbug rather than real morality. I would like to be able to tell a young man that he could go to his church and learn a sound sexual morality. I would be lying if I told him such a thing. What he will hear in Church is Jansenist heresy or its Protestant counterpart. The young are largely without sound moral teachers today.

Our civilization has become a case of arrested development. It ought to have moved on many long years ago to something new. Conservatives who think in terms of "going back" to something in the past are wasting their time. IMPERIUM is the idea toward which we should have moved. It was the logical next step of Western historical development. Why did we not move forward at the right time? Mainly, because of the machinations of the Liberals and the Jew-Marxists. But right here, I want to qualify the meaning of our terms. What do we mean when we say "The Jews"? Very often, we mean "Rich Gentiles." A. K. Chesterton makes a very competent discussion of this subject in his book THE UNHAPPY LORDS. Jewish ideas dominate the Establishment which is bringing our ruin, but many of the "dramatis personnae" are wealthy gentiles. Marxism is their main tool.

The complex orbit of ideas which have been made to revolve around Marxism have exerted enormous influence in the world. There are many images of Marxism, most of them created out of thin air. The American Middle Class once believed devoutly that the American Worker was allied to a fearful place called "Red Russia" for the purpose of harassing decent folk. The Vietnamese peasant believes that Communism represents freedom; in fact, Communism in Vietnam was once the tool of French Big Business and still represents interests hostile to the Vietnamese peasant. ABOVE ALL ELSE, MARXISM HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE TOOL OF THE BIG MONEY. BIG MONEY CREATED MARXISM AND SUPPORTS MARXISM AROUND THE WORLD TO THIS DAY. There is no proletarian revolution, never has been any proletarian Revolution, never will be any proletarian Revolution. There is nothing novel about what I say here. Oswald Spengler said it better back in 1919; but a nice two-volume set of THE DECLINE OF THE WEST sells for $16.50. Books which sell for $16.50 do not reach the wider public. For forty years, popular controversy about Marxism in the United States has been based upon myths long-since exploded and discredited in Europe.

Soon Marxism will disappear, and people will not even remember what it was. It never had any genuine substance of reality. Like Liberalism, it was the phenomenon of a limited period of history, whose day is now done. It no longer serves any real purpose even for people who claim (usually falsely) to believe in it. When Marxism disappears, it will very likely be replaced by something worse, so don't start cheering prematurely.

The Liberal manages to be wrong all the time about everything. How does he manage this feat? He starts from the beginning with a false concept of what Man is. His original error compounds itself and permeates all his activities.

We dirty old REACTIONARIES know what Man really is. We do not generate propaganda urging Man to be a Reactionary. MAN IS ALREADY A REACTIONARY. He has been for 10,000 years, and will be for 10,000 more years, if he survives the debacle which Liberalism is about to bring upon us. It is true that Man sometimes raises his little pretensions of Liberalism for a short time; but Reactionism always wins in the end, because life is Reactionary. The Liberals try to banish evil from the world with incantations; St. Paul said, "The Mystery of Iniquity is already at work among us . . ." There is no stability, no peace, nor order; the brave man knows that there are only Courage, Faith, and Honor, and that "Security" is a snare and a delusion.

Liberalism thinks of itself as being a maker of order in the world. For 100 years, prior to the world wars, Mankind did indeed move toward a period of world order, law, and international discipline. This period was produced not by Liberal ideas or by mere progress, but by bare, naked force in the form of the British Navy. Liberalism tacitly assumes credit for material progress, but material progress, notoriously was made by non-Liberal types. Henry Ford is a fair example. What can Liberalism really claim credit for? Hardly anything, except an inordinate amount of gasbagging.

Many Americans Conservatives think that we have an adequate Conservative force standing by, ready to take over, if only the Liberals can be ousted. We have no such thing. It is time to face the real fact about Conservative leadership in the country: There Is None. To be sure, there is a great surplus of gasbags, bores, and mediocrities who are willing, for a profit, to go around making "Conservative" noises. About 98% of them are as phoney as a nine-dollar bill.

I have developed my own method of deflating the pseudo-conservative candidate for office. I ask him one question: "Are you in favor of repeal of the Federal Reserve Act?" The answer I want is a sharp, clear-cut, non-evasive "YES!" If I get any other answer, I am THROUGH with the phoney "Conservative Candidate." Years ago, some people thought that the country would be saved if only we could elect a Republican to the Presidency. In 1954 we did elect a Republican. Ike was NOT a "Conscious agent of the Communist Conspiracy." Ike himself told us what he was better than Bob Welch did: Ike once said: "The best thing I ever did was to listen to the advice of Bernard Baruch." Certainly that is a good way for a country boy to get ahead, but is it really best for the country? "Listening to Bernard Baruch" jumped Ike over the heads of many of his seniors to make him Commanding General of the ETO, and later to be President.

Where did the money come from to finance the October Revolution in Russia? If you are a bright boy, the kind who goes to the head of the class, you will answer promptly, "The money came from New York!" How did the great banks happen to have money available for such a purpose? Was it hard-earned money made in legitimate commerce? IT WAS NOT. IT WAS FIAT MONEY, CREATED BY A WAVE OF THE MAGIC JEWISH WAND. In short, the Russian Revolution was financed by the Federal Reserve Act. In the end, Mr. American Taxpayer, YOU PAID AND ARE STILL PAYING FOR THE COMMUNIST REVOLUTION IN RUSSIA. Don't try to tell me that you are "Fighting Communism" UNLESS YOU ARE FIGHTING THE FEDERAL RESERVE ACT. V. I. Lenin said: "Socialism would have been impossible without the help of the great banks." Indeed it would have been impossible. Indeed, it would never even have been thought of without the prompting of the great banks. Marxists and Money Men are blood brothers, not the mortal enemies they claim to be.

Fables like those which revolve around Marxism could only be believed in a sick, sick, sick age. The myths of Liberalism are bankrupt. We are keeping a corpse in the parlor. It is high time to bury Liberalism and forget